





Population pharmacokinetic analyses demonstrate comparable exposures in children, at least 30 kg, dosed by weight-bands (35 mg or 50 mg of dolutegravir) to that observed in adults.

#### Drug Interactions

Drug interaction trials were performed with dolutegravir and other drugs likely to be coadministered or commonly used as probes for pharmacokinetic interactions. As dolutegravir is not expected to affect the pharmacokinetics of other drugs dependent on hepatic metabolism (Table 5), (see Drug Interactions (7.1)), the primary focus of these drug interaction trials was to evaluate the effect of coadministered drug on dolutegravir (Table 10).

Dosing or regimen recommendations as a result of established and other potentially significant drug-drug interactions with dolutegravir are provided in Table 6 (see Dosage and Administration (2.7), Drug Interactions (7.3)).

**Table 9. Summary of Effect of Dolutegravir on the Pharmacokinetics of Coadministered Drugs**

Coadministered Drug(s) and Dose(s)	Dose of Dolutegravir	n	Geometric Mean Ratio (95% CI) of Pharmacokinetic Parameters with/without Dolutegravir		
			C <sub>max</sub>	AUC	C <sub>r</sub> or C <sub>tr</sub>
Dolutegravir 50 mg once daily	50 mg	12	1.03 (0.94, 1.05)	0.98 (0.83, 1.15)	1.02 (0.90, 1.16)
Ethinyl estradiol 0.035 mg	50 mg	15	0.99 (0.91 to 1.08)	1.03 (0.96 to 1.11)	1.02 (0.93 to 1.11)
Metformin 500 mg twice daily	50 mg	15 <sup>a</sup>	1.06 (1.03 to 1.09)	1.79 (1.65 to 1.93)	-
Metformin 500 mg twice daily	50 mg	15 <sup>a</sup>	2.11 (1.91 to 2.33)	2.45 (2.25 to 2.66)	-
Methadone 16 to 150 mg	50 mg	11	1 (0.94 to 1.06)	0.98 (0.91 to 1.06)	0.99 (0.91 to 1.07)
Midazolam 3 mg	25 mg	10	-	0.95 (0.78 to 1.15)	-
Norelgestromin 0.25 mg	50 mg	15	0.89 (0.82 to 0.97)	0.98 (0.91 to 1.04)	0.93 (0.85 to 1.03)
Rilpivirine 25 mg once daily	50 mg	16	1.10 (0.99 to 1.22)	1.06 (0.98 to 1.16)	1.21 (1.07 to 1.38)
Tenofovir disoproxil fumarate 300 mg once daily	50 mg	15	1.09 (0.97 to 1.23)	1.12 (1.01 to 1.24)	1.19 (1.04 to 1.35)

<sup>a</sup> The number of subjects represents the maximum number of subjects that were evaluated.

**Table 10. Summary of Effect of Coadministered Drugs on the Pharmacokinetics of Dolutegravir**

Coadministered Drug(s) and Dose(s)	Dose of Dolutegravir	n	Geometric Mean Ratio (95% CI) of Pharmacokinetic Parameters with/without Dolutegravir		
			C <sub>max</sub>	AUC	C <sub>r</sub> or C <sub>tr</sub>
Azidothymidine 400 mg once daily	30 mg	12	1.50 (1.40 to 1.59)	0.91 (0.80 to 1.03)	2.00 (2.32 to 3.31)
Azidothymidine 300 mg once daily	30 mg	12	1.34 (1.25 to 1.42)	1.62 (1.50 to 1.74)	2.21 (1.97 to 2.47)
Darunavir 600 mg twice daily	50 mg	15	0.82 (0.83 to 0.81)	0.72 (0.65 to 0.85)	0.56 (0.50 to 0.69)
Efavirenz 500 mg once daily	50 mg	12	0.61 (0.51 to 0.72)	0.43 (0.38 to 0.54)	0.25 (0.21 to 0.32)
Etravirine 200 mg twice daily	50 mg	16	0.48 (0.43 to 0.54)	0.29 (0.26 to 0.34)	0.12 (0.09 to 0.16)
Etravirine + didanosine/ritonavir 200 mg + 600/100 mg twice daily	50 mg	9	0.68 (0.78 to 1)	0.75 (0.69 to 0.81)	0.63 (0.52 to 0.78)
Etravirine + lopinavir/ritonavir 200 mg + 400/100 mg twice daily	50 mg	8	1.07 (1.02 to 1.13)	0.81 (0.72 to 0.90)	1.28 (1.12 to 1.45)
Fosamprenavir/ritonavir 700 mg/100 mg twice daily	50 mg	12	0.76 (0.63 to 0.92)	0.65 (0.54 to 0.78)	0.51 (0.41 to 0.63)
Lopinavir/ritonavir 400 mg/100 mg twice daily	50 mg	15	0.84 (0.74 to 0.95)	0.87 (0.81 to 0.94)	0.84 (0.75 to 0.93)
Rilpivirine 25 mg once daily	50 mg	12	1.13 (1.06 to 1.21)	1.12 (1.05 to 1.19)	1.22 (1.15 to 1.30)
Tenofovir 300 mg once daily	50 mg	16	0.97 (0.87 to 1.08)	1.01 (0.91 to 1.11)	0.92 (0.82 to 1.04)
Tenofovir/ritonavir 300/200 mg twice daily	50 mg	14	0.54 (0.50 to 0.57)	0.41 (0.38 to 0.44)	0.24 (0.21 to 0.27)
Antacid (Maalox <sup>®</sup> ) simultaneous administration	50 mg	16	0.28 (0.23 to 0.33)	0.26 (0.22 to 0.32)	0.26 (0.21 to 0.31)
Antacid (Maalox <sup>®</sup> ) 2 h after dolutegravir	50 mg	16	0.82 (0.69 to 0.98)	0.74 (0.62 to 0.90)	0.82 (0.59 to 0.85)
Bacopirivir 400 mg every 8 hours	50 mg	13	1.05 (0.96 to 1.15)	1.07 (0.95 to 1.20)	1.08 (0.91 to 1.28)
Calcium carbonate 1200 mg simultaneous administration (fed)	50 mg	12	0.63 (0.50 to 0.81)	0.61 (0.47 to 0.80)	0.62 (0.47 to 0.80)
Calcium carbonate 1200 mg simultaneous administration (fed)	50 mg	11	1.07 (0.83 to 1.38)	1.09 (0.84 to 1.43)	1.08 (0.81 to 1.42)
Calcium carbonate 1200 mg 2 h after dolutegravir	50 mg	11	0.94 (0.78 to 1.29)	0.92 (0.72 to 1.23)	0.90 (0.68 to 1.19)
Carbamazepine 50 mg once daily	50 mg	16	0.67 (0.51 to 0.73)	0.51 (0.40 to 0.55)	0.27 (0.21 to 0.31)
Dolutegravir 80 mg once daily	50 mg	12	1.29 (1.07 to 1.57)	1.33 (1.11 to 1.59)	1.45 (1.25 to 1.68)
Ferrous fumarate 324 mg simultaneous administration (fasted)	50 mg	11	0.43 (0.30 to 0.62)	0.46 (0.30 to 0.56)	0.44 (0.30 to 0.54)
Ferrous fumarate 324 mg simultaneous administration (fed)	50 mg	11	1.03 (0.84 to 1.26)	0.98 (0.81 to 1.20)	1.03 (0.81 to 1.23)
Ferrous fumarate 324 mg 2 h after dolutegravir	50 mg	10	0.99 (0.81 to 1.21)	0.95 (0.77 to 1.15)	0.92 (0.74 to 1.13)
Multivitamin (One-A-Day <sup>®</sup> ) simultaneous administration	50 mg	16	0.63 (0.54 to 0.77)	0.67 (0.55 to 0.81)	0.62 (0.50 to 0.82)
Onepazole 40 mg once daily	50 mg	12	0.92 (0.73 to 1.11)	0.97 (0.78 to 1.20)	0.95 (0.73 to 1.21)
Prednisone 60 mg once daily with taper	50 mg	12	1.06 (0.99 to 1.14)	1.11 (1.03 to 1.20)	1.17 (1.06 to 1.28)
Rilpivirine 25 mg once daily	50 mg	11	0.93 (0.83 to 0.65)	0.46 (0.38 to 0.55)	0.28 (0.23 to 0.34)
Rilpivirine 25 mg once daily	50 mg	11	1.18 (1.03 to 1.37)	1.33 (1.15 to 1.53)	1.22 (1.01 to 1.48)
Rilpivirine 25 mg once daily	50 mg	9	1.16 (0.98 to 1.37)	1.36 (1.02 to 1.10)	0.77 (0.57 to 0.87)

<sup>a</sup> Comparison is rifampin taken with dolutegravir 50 mg twice daily compared with dolutegravir 50 mg twice daily.

<sup>b</sup> Comparison is rifampin taken with dolutegravir 50 mg twice daily compared with dolutegravir 50 mg once daily.

<sup>c</sup> The number of subjects represents the maximum number of subjects that were evaluated.

#### 12.4 Microbiology

##### Mechanism of Action

Dolutegravir inhibits HIV integrase by binding to the integrase active site and blocking the strand transfer step of retroviral deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) integration which is essential for the HIV replication cycle. Strand transfer biochemical assays using purified HIV-1 integrase and pre-processed substrate DNA resulted in IC<sub>50</sub> values of 2.7 nM and 12.6 nM.

##### Antiviral Activity in Cell Culture

Dolutegravir exhibited antiviral activity against laboratory strains of wild-type HIV-1 with mean EC<sub>50</sub> values of 0.5 nM (21 ng per mL) to 2.1 nM (0.85 ng per mL) in H9 and PM2 cells (PM2 cells) and MT-4 cells. Dolutegravir exhibited antiviral activity against 13 clinically diverse clade B isolates with a mean EC<sub>50</sub> value of 0.52 nM in a viral integrase susceptibility assay using the integrase coding region from clinical isolates. Dolutegravir demonstrated antiviral activity in cell culture against a panel of HIV-1 clinical isolates (3 in each group of M clades A, B, C, D, E, F, and G, and 3 in group O) with EC<sub>50</sub> values ranging from 0.02 nM to 2.14 nM for HIV-1. Dolutegravir EC<sub>50</sub> values against 3 HIV-2 clinical isolates in PM2C assays ranged from 0.02 nM to 0.81 nM.

##### Antiviral Activity in Combination with Other Antiviral Agents

The antiviral activity of dolutegravir was not antagonistic when combined with the INSTI, raltegravir; non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NNRTIs), efavirenz or nevirapine; the nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NRTIs), abacavir or zidovudine; the protease inhibitors (PIs), amprenavir or lopinavir; the CCR5 co-receptor antagonist, maraviroc; or the fusion inhibitor, enfuvirtide. Dolutegravir antiviral activity was not antagonistic when combined with the HIV reverse transcriptase inhibitor, didanosine, or inhibited by the antiviral, ribavirin.

##### Resistance

**Cell Culture:** Dolutegravir-resistant viruses were selected in cell culture starting from different wild-type HIV-1 strains and clades. Amino acid substitutions E92Q, G118R, S135F or Y, G139E or R263K emerged in different passages and conferred decreased susceptibility to dolutegravir of up to 4-fold. Passage of mutant viruses containing the Q148R or Q148H substitutions selected for additional substitutions in integrase that conferred decreased susceptibility to dolutegravir (fold-change increase of 13 to 46). The additional integrase substitutions included T97A, E138K, G140S, and M154L. Passage of mutant viruses containing both G140S and Q148H selected for L74M, E92Q, and N155H.

**Treatment-Naïve Subjects:** No subjects in the dolutegravir 50-mg once-daily treatment arms of treatment-naïve trials SPRING-2 (96 weeks) and SINGLE (14 weeks) had a detectable decrease in susceptibility to dolutegravir or background NRTIs in the resistance analysis subset (n = 12 with HIV-1 RNA greater than 400 copies per mL at baseline or last visit and having resistance data). Two virologic failure subjects in SINGLE had treatment-emergent G160R and G150G/E integrase substitutions at Week 84 and Week 108, respectively, and 1 subject with 275 copies per mL HIV-1 RNA had a treatment-emergent Q157P integrase substitution detected at Week 24. None of these subjects had a corresponding decrease in dolutegravir susceptibility. No treatment-emergent genotypic resistance to the background regimen was observed in the dolutegravir arm in either the SPRING-2 or SINGLE trials. No treatment-emergent primary resistance substitutions were observed in either treatment group in the FLAMINGO trial through Week 96.

**Treatment-Experienced, Integrase Strand Transfer Inhibitor-Naïve Subjects:** In the dolutegravir arm of the SAILING trial for treatment-experienced and INSTI-naïve subjects (n = 354), treatment-emergent integrase substitutions were observed in 1 of 28 (11%) subjects who had virologic failure and resistance data. 5 of the 6 subjects' isolates emergent INSTI substitutions included L74M, Q95Q, V151V1 (n = 4 each), and R263K (n = 2). The change in dolutegravir phenotypic susceptibility for these 5 subject isolates was less than 2-fold. One subject isolate had pre-existing raltegravir resistance substitutions E158A, G140S, and Q148R at baseline and had additional emergent INSTI resistance substitutions T97A and E138K at Week 4 with a corresponding 148-fold reduction in dolutegravir susceptibility at failure. In the comparator raltegravir arm, 21 of 49 (43%) subjects with post-baseline resistance data had evidence of emergent INSTI-resistance substitutions (L74M, E92Q, T97A, E138K, G140S/A, Y143R/C/H, Q148R/H, V151I, N155H, E157Q, and G163R/H) and raltegravir phenotypic resistance.

**Treatment-Experienced, Integrase Strand Transfer Inhibitor-Experienced Subjects:** VIKING-3 examined the efficacy of dolutegravir 50 mg twice daily plus optimized background therapy in subjects with prior or current virologic failure on an INSTI (raltegravir or raltegravir) containing regimen. In VIKING-4 (ING116229), 30 subjects with current virologic failure on an INSTI-containing regimen and no evidence of INSTI-resistance substitutions at screening were randomized to receive dolutegravir 50 mg twice daily or placebo with the current failing regimen for 7 days and then all subjects received open-label dolutegravir plus optimized background regimen from Day 8. Virologic responses at Week 48 by baseline genotypic and phenotypic resistance categories and the INSTI-resistance-associated substitutions at screening that emerged on dolutegravir treatment in VIKING-4 were consistent with those seen in VIKING-3.

##### Response by Baseline Genotype

Of the 183 subjects with baseline data, 30% harbored virus with a substitution at Q148, and 33% had no primary INSTI-resistance substitutions (T66A/VK, E92Q/V, Y143R/C/H, Q148R/K, and N155H) at baseline, but had historical genotypic evidence of INSTI-resistance substitutions, phenotypic evidence of dolutegravir or raltegravir resistance, or genotypic evidence of INSTI-resistance substitutions at screening. Response rates by baseline genotype were analyzed in an "as-treated" analysis at Week 48 (n = 175) (Table 11). The response rate at Week 48 to dolutegravir-containing regimens was 47% (24 of 51) when Q148 substitutions were present at baseline; Q148 was always present with additional INSTI-resistance substitutions (see Table 11). In addition, a diminished virologic response of 40% (8 of 15) was observed when the substitution E157Q or K was present at baseline with other INSTI-resistance substitutions but without a Q148R or R substitution.

**Table 11. Response by Baseline Integrase Genotype in Subjects with Prior Experience to an Integrase and Transfer Inhibitor in VIKING-3**

Baseline Genotype	Week 48 (n = 175)	Response
Overall Response	66% (116/175)	
No Q148 substitution <sup>a</sup>	74% (82/124)	
Q148R/H + G140S/A/C without additional INSTI-resistance substitution <sup>b</sup>	81% (17/28)	
Q148R/H + ≥2 INSTI-resistance substitutions <sup>c</sup>	29% (6/21)	

<sup>a</sup> Includes INSTI-resistance substitutions Y143R/C/H and N155H.  
<sup>b</sup> INSTI-resistance substitutions included T66A, L74M, E138A/K/T, G140S/A/C, Y143R/C/H, E157Q, G163R/E/Q, or G139E/R. Two additional subjects had baseline genotypes of Q148R plus L74M/L70 (virologic failure) and Q148R plus E138K (responder).  
<sup>c</sup> The most common pathway was Q148R/H + greater than or equal to 2 INSTI-resistance substitutions had Q148G/H or H158 substitutions (n = 16).

**Response by Baseline Phenotype**  
Response rates by baseline phenotype were analyzed in an "as-treated" analysis using all subjects with available baseline phenotypes through Week 48 (n = 163) (see Table 12). These baseline phenotypic groups are based on subjects enrolled in VIKING-3 and are not meant to represent definitive clinical susceptibility cut points for dolutegravir. The data are provided to guide clinicians on the likelihood of virologic success based on pretreatment susceptibility to dolutegravir in INSTI-resistant patients.

**Table 12. Response by Baseline Dolutegravir Phenotype (Fold-Change from Reference) in Subjects with Prior Experience to an Integrase and Transfer Inhibitor in VIKING-3**

Baseline Dolutegravir Phenotype (Fold-Change from Reference)	Response at Week 48 (n = 163)
Overall Response	64% (104/163)
<2-fold change	72% (83/116)
3- to <10-fold change	52% (18/34)
≥10-fold change	23% (3/13)

##### Integrase Strand Transfer Inhibitor Treatment-Emergent Resistance

There were 50 subjects with virologic failure on the dolutegravir twice-daily regimen in VIKING-3 with HIV-1 RNA greater than 400 copies per mL at the failure timepoint. Week 48 or beyond or the last timepoint on trial. Thirty-nine subjects with virologic failure had resistance data that were used in the Week 48 analysis. In the Week 48 resistance analysis 85% (33 of 39) of the subjects with virologic failure had treatment-emergent INSTI-resistance substitutions in their isolates. The most common treatment-emergent INSTI-resistance substitution was T77A. Other frequently emergent INSTI-resistance substitutions included L74M, L70M, E138K, A, G140S, G140S/A, C, G141L, or R150A. Substitutions E92Q, Y143R or C/H, S147Q, V151A, and E157E each emerged in 1 to 3 subjects' isolates. At failure, the median dolutegravir fold-change from reference was 61-fold (range: 0.75 to 209) for isolates with emergent INSTI-resistance substitutions (n = 33).

Resistance to one or more background drugs in the dolutegravir twice-daily regimen also emerged in 49% (19 of 39) subjects in the Week 48 resistance analysis.

##### Cross-Resistance

**Site-Directed Integrase Strand Transfer Inhibitor-Resistant Mutant HIV-1 and HIV-2 Strains:** The susceptibility of dolutegravir was tested against 60 INSTI-resistant site-directed mutant HIV-1 viruses (28 with single substitutions and 32 with 2 or more substitutions) and 10 INSTI-resistant site-directed mutant HIV-2 viruses. The single INSTI-resistance substitutions T66K, I151L, and G153Y conferred a greater than 2-fold decrease in dolutegravir susceptibility (response = 2.94 to 3.8-fold decrease of multiplicity of infection). Mutations T66K/L74M, E92Q/N155H, G140C/Q148R, G140S/Q148R, R or K, Q148R/N155H, T97A/G140S/Q148, and substitutions at E157Q/E157H showed no greater than 2-fold decrease in dolutegravir susceptibility. Mutations E148Q/Q148R showed 4- to 8-fold and 17-fold decreases in dolutegravir susceptibility, respectively. **Reverse Transcriptase Inhibitor- and Protease Inhibitor-Resistant Strains:** Dolutegravir demonstrated equivalent antiviral activity against 2 NNRTI-resistant, 3 NRTI-resistant, and 2 PI-resistant HIV-1 mutant clones compared with the wild-type strain.

#### 13 NONCLINICAL TOXICOLOGY

##### 13.1 Carcinogenesis, Mutagenesis, and Impairment of Fertility

**Carcinogenesis:** Two-year carcinogenicity studies in mice and rats were conducted with dolutegravir. Mice were administered doses of up to 500 mg per kg, and rats were administered doses of up to 50 mg per kg. In mice, no significant increases in the incidence of drug-related neoplasms were observed at the highest dose tested. Increases in dolutegravir AUC exposures approximately 14-fold higher than those in humans at the recommended dose of 50 mg twice daily in mice, and 10-fold higher than those in humans at the highest dose tested, resulting in dolutegravir AUC exposures 10-fold and 15-fold higher in males and females, respectively, than those in humans at the recommended dose of 50 mg twice daily.

**Mutagenesis:** Dolutegravir was not genotoxic in the bacterial reverse mutation assay, mouse lymphoma assay, or in the *in vivo* rodent micronucleus assay.

**Impairment of Fertility:** In a study conducted in rats, there were no effects on mating or fertility with dolutegravir up to 1,000 mg per kg per day. This dose is associated with an exposure that is approximately 24 times higher than the exposure in humans at the recommended dose of 50 mg twice daily.

#### 14 CLINICAL STUDIES

The efficacy of dolutegravir is based on analyses of data from 3 trials, SPRING-2 (ING113086), SINGLE (ING114467), and FLAMINGO (ING114915), in treatment-naïve, HIV-1-infected subjects (n = 2,125) in one trial, SAILING (ING111762), in treatment-experienced, INSTI-naïve HIV-1-infected subjects (n = 175), and from VIKING-3 (ING112574) trial in INSTI-experienced HIV-1-infected subjects (n = 183). The use of dolutegravir in pediatric patients aged 12 years and older is based on efficacy, pharmacokinetics, and efficacy through 24 weeks in a multicenter, open-label trial in subjects (n = 23) without INSTI resistance.

##### 14.1 Adult Subjects

**Treatment-Naïve Subjects**  
In SPRING-2, 622 subjects were randomized and received at least 1 dose of either dolutegravir 50 mg once daily or raltegravir 400 mg twice daily, both in combination with fixed-dose dual NRTI treatment (either abacavir sulfate and lamivudine or emtricitabine/tenofovir). There were 808 subjects included in the efficacy and safety analyses. At baseline, the median age of subjects was 30 years, 13% female, 15% non-white, 11% had hepatitis B and/or C virus co-infection, 2% were CDC Class C (AIDS), 28% had HIV-1 RNA greater than 100,000 copies per mL, 48% had CD4+ cell count less than 350 cells per mL, and 38% received Abacavir Sulfate and Lamivudine; these characteristics were similar between treatment groups.

In SINGLE, 833 subjects were randomized and received at least 1 dose of either dolutegravir 50 mg once daily with fixed-dose abacavir sulfate and lamivudine or fixed-dose Efavirenz/Emtricitabine and Tenofovir Disoproxil Fumarate. At baseline, the median age of subjects was 35 years, 16% female, 32% non-white, 7% had hepatitis B and/or C virus co-infection, 2% were CDC Class C (AIDS), 25% had HIV-1 RNA greater than 100,000 copies per mL, 48% had CD4+ cell count less than 350 cells per mL, and 33% had HIV-1 RNA greater than 100,000 copies per mL, and 53% had CD4+ cell count less than 350 cells per mL; these characteristics were similar between treatment groups.

Outcomes for SPRING-2 (Week 96 analysis) and SINGLE (Week 144 open-label phase analysis which followed the Week 96 double-blind phase) are provided in Table 13. Side-by-side tabulation is to simplify presentation; distinct comparisons are provided in the text.

**Table 13. Virologic Outcomes of Randomized Treatment in SPRING-2 at Week 96 and SINGLE at Week 144 (Snapshot Algorithm)**

	SPRING-2 Week 96		SINGLE Week 144	
	Dolutegravir 50 mg Once Daily + 2 NRTIs (n = 403)	Raltegravir 400 mg Twice Daily + 2 NRTIs (n = 405)	Dolutegravir 50 mg + Abacavir Sulfate and Lamivudine (n = 414)	EFAVIRENZ, EMTRICITABINE AND TENOFOVIR FUMARATE (n = 419)
HIV-1 RNA <50 copies/mL	82%	78%	71%	63%
Treatment difference <sup>a</sup>	4.9% (95% CI: -0.6%, 10.3%) <sup>b</sup> , 8.3% (95% CI: 2%, 14.6%) <sup>c</sup>			
Virologic nonresponse	5%	10%	10%	7%
Data in window net <50 copies/mL	1%	3%	4%	<1%
Discontinued for lack of efficacy	2%	3%	3%	3%
Discontinued for other reasons while not suppressed	<1%	3%	3%	4%
Change in ART regimen	<1%	<1%	0	0
Response in ART regimen	12%	12%	18%	30%
No virologic data	2%	2%	4%	14%
Discontinued study/ study drug due to adverse event or death	8%	9%	12%	13%
Discontinued study/ study drug for other reasons	2%	<1%	2%	3%
Missing data during window but on study				
<b>Proportion (%) of Subjects with HIV-1 RNA &lt;50 copies/mL by Baseline Category</b>				
<b>Plasma viral load (copies/mL)</b>				
<100,000	84%	83%	73%	64%
>100,000	79%	83%	69%	61%
<b>Gender</b>				
Male	84%	79%	72%	66%
Female	70%	68%	69%	48%
<b>Race</b>				
White	83%	78%	72%	71%
African-American/African Heritage/Other	77%	75%	71%	47%

<sup>a</sup> Adjusted for pre